

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 7556 028811-21 10/601,702 06/24/2003 Roland Hahn 01/30/2007 25570 7590 **EXAMINER** ROBERTS, MLOTKOWSKI & HOBBES ZIRKER, DANIEL R P.O. BOX 10064 MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1771 DELIVERY MODE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE 3 MONTHS 01/30/2007 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/601,702	HAHN, ROLAND	•
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Daniel Zirker	1771.	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma	·	is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-15,17-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4,7-11,15,17 and 19-26 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and another claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction	ndrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[10)[The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum. application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment	i(s)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/601,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claims 15,17,19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More particularly, in claims 15 and 17 the invention in each of these claims should not be directed to a "Sealing element" in the claim preamble, which is presently the case. In claim 19 the Examiner finds sections "c" and, most particularly, "b" to be confusing and suggests that it might be desirable if the same or very similar language presently used in closely related claim 20 be used in place of that presently found in these sections. In claim 26 "adhesion" is a misspelling of --adhesion--.
- 3. Claims 1,3,4,7-11,15,17, and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE '399 as set forth in the relied upon Hahn et al US patent "translation" taken either individually, or in view of the Wacker publication, substantially for the reasons set forth in Paragraph No. 3 of Paper No. 041806, together with the following additional observations. With respect to the rejection based upon the primary reference taken individually the Examiner would like to take Official Notice that to provide a primer layer or its somewhat broader embodiment, an "intermediate adhesion layer" (the latter claimed by applicant) in the surface bonding art for the purpose of improving the bonding between, e.g. a silicone cement and an acrylate foam, is so well known as to not require the presence of a reference. Such an intermediate adhesion layer would be utilized in circumstances where two substrates could not be either

Application/Control Number: 10/601,702

Art Unit: 1771

effectively or easily (e.g. the latter occurring in situations such as where curing of the adhesive was difficult or unduly long) adhered together. Alternatively, the Wacker trade publication is again relied upon for situations such as set forth in the second paragraph of page 2 of the reference wherein one of ordinary skill would be reminded that the use of intermediate adhesion layers such as primers would be deisirable "if the bonded parts are to be subjected to temperature changes, damp conditions, tension, compression, shear, etc. Some porous substrates also require primers." Accordingly, one of ordinary skill would have more than ample motivation to use an intermediate adhesion layer such as applicant's preferred primer G 718 to adhere the desired surfaces to be bonded together, even in situations where one of the surfaces to be adhered is a porous foam. Finally, the issue of a crosslinked adhesive was addressed in the prior Action, to which applicant essentially responded only that the relied upon references did not teach it, which the Examiner had already acknowledged.

Page 3

4. Claim 4 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 21 of copending Application No. 10/204,545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the Examiner notes that the present pending claim of the application is directed to an adhesive tape and claim 21 of the '545 application is directed to a "Seal arrangement", and contains the sealing element inside instead of outside the "comprising" section, and also (perhaps most importantly) the pending application claims the acrylic foam instead of an acrylate cement as one of the self sticking adhesive surfaces, the Examiner still nevertheless believes that provisional

double patenting exists. Each of these differences are believed to be either minor distinctions for the purpose of obviousness double patenting, or obvious variations in the case of the two self sticking surfaces which use either an acrylate cement or an acrylate foam surface.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is 571-272-1486.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571 - 272 - 1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Daniel Zirker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Daniel Zuku